

## A Comparative Study of Intersemiotic Complementarity of Visual and Verbal Modes in Three English School Textbooks from Iran and Malaysia

Arefeh Sadat Khoshniyat<sup>1</sup>, Majid Amerian<sup>2\*</sup>

1. PhD student, Department of English Language and Literature, Arak University, Arak, Iran.

2. Associate Professor, Department of English Language and Literature, Arak University, Arak, Iran (Corresponding Author).

### Article history:

Received date: 2023/09/27

Review date: 2024/01/13

Accepted date: 2024/02/03

### Keywords:

Intersemiotic Complementarity,  
Textbook Analysis, Prospect 1, Form 1,  
Pulse 2, Multimodality

**Purpose:** The integration of visual and verbal modes in educational materials, particularly in school textbooks, plays a chief role in enhancing the learning experience. Thus, the present study aimed to analyze the intersemiotic complementarity of verbal and visual modes in three English school textbooks from two countries with different policies toward English education at public schools, Iran and Malaysia.

**Methodology:** Employing a qualitative descriptive approach, this study analyzes the intersemiotic complementarity within English school textbooks used in the first grade of high school in Iran and Malaysia, focusing on three selected textbooks: Prospect 1 (Iran), Form 1 (Malaysia), and Pulse 2 (Malaysia). The analysis was guided by Royce's (1998) framework for intersemiotic complementarity, with a particular emphasis on the ideational metafunction. This involved examining the coherence between visual representations (images) and textual content (dialogues) to assess how these elements complement each other in conveying educational content and facilitating learning.

**Findings:** The analysis revealed a marked disparity in the integration of visual and verbal modes between the textbooks from the two countries. Iranian textbooks, specifically Prospect 1, demonstrated a significant disconnect between images and text, often leading to confusion and potentially hindering students' learning. In contrast, the Malaysian textbooks (Form 1 and Pulse 2) exhibited a high level of intersemiotic complementarity, with images effectively supporting and enhancing the textual content, thereby facilitating a more cohesive and comprehensible learning experience for students.

**Conclusion:** The study demonstrates the importance of cohesive integration of visual and verbal elements in textbooks to support effective learning, highlighting the need for strategic multimodal design in educational materials. By ensuring a cohesive integration of visual and verbal elements, textbooks can become more effective tools in enhancing comprehension and improving learning outcomes. This research contributes to the growing discourse on multimodal education, suggesting pathways for future investigations into the optimization of textbook design for enhanced educational impact.

**Please cite this article as:** Khoshniyat A Majid Amerian M. (2023). A Comparative Study of Intersemiotic Complementarity of Visual and Verbal Modes in Three English School Textbooks from Iran and Malaysia, *Iranian Journal of Educational Sociology*. 6(3): 254-263.

## 1. Introduction

The integration of visual and verbal modes in educational materials, particularly in English school textbooks, plays a pivotal role in enhancing the learning experience. Royce's (1998) concept of intersemiotic complementarity, which underscores the collaborative relationship between visual and verbal modes in conveying meanings, serves as the foundational framework for this analysis. This concept finds relevance across different mediums, including educational materials and multimedia learning environments, as highlighted by Haris et al. (2023), Istighfaroh et al. (2022), and Plass et al. (1998), who emphasize the importance of integrating verbal and visual information to enhance learning outcomes.

Further exploration into intersemiotic relations in diverse contexts such as film dubbing (El-Nashar, 2023), visual protests (Nurfaizah, 2022), and language learning through comics (Pazaer & Assaiqeli, 2023) enriches our understanding of how visual and verbal modes complement each other. The integration of these modes in educational materials, such as EFL textbooks, science textbooks, and health promotion posters (Sugianto et al., 2021; Koutsikou et al., 2021; Khusna & Hardjatno, 2022), offers varied perspectives on their effectiveness in facilitating learning.

Building on the theoretical foundation laid by Halliday's Systemic Functional Linguistics, which emphasizes the role of social contexts in meaning-making (Damayanti & Febrianti, 2020), the study leverages the ideational, interpersonal, and textual metafunctions to analyze the interplay between visual and verbal elements. The adoption of sociocultural and social semiotics frameworks further aids in understanding the semiotic resources and affordances of multimodal products (Smith, 2018; Ling & Fu, 2019).

Royce's framework has been applied extensively across various disciplines, analyzing multimodal texts in educational settings, social movements, and even in critical literacy practices (Sugianto et al., 2021; Memon, 2021; Pederson & Myers, 2021). This widespread application underscores its utility in examining the nuanced interactions between verbal and visual modes across different contexts. In the realm of educational materials, the focus on the ideational metafunction has been paramount, with studies exploring how images support or enhance textual meanings in textbooks and other educational resources (Cahyani et al., 2021; Jerome & Ting, 2021; Sugianto, 2021). The interpersonal and compositional metafunctions have also received attention, shedding light on the ways images and text convey social interactions and organize meaning within educational texts (Lopez-Ozieblo, 2021; Haider, 2022; Cmeciu, 2022).

The theoretical underpinnings of intersemiotic complementarity, as proposed by Royce (1998) and supported by the work of Haris et al. (2023), Istighfaroh et al. (2022), and Plass et al. (1998), provide a robust framework for examining the synergistic potential of visual and verbal modes in textbooks. This comparative analysis between Iran and Malaysia's English textbooks offers a unique opportunity to understand how different educational systems approach multimodality in textbook design, thereby contributing to the broader discourse on effective educational practices.

Furthermore, the study addresses a critical gap in existing research by focusing on the ideational, interpersonal, and compositional metafunctions within textbooks, as highlighted by Damayanti & Febrianti (2020) and Sugianto et al. (2021). The exploration of these metafunctions in the context of English education in Iran and Malaysia is essential for identifying best practices and potential areas for improvement in textbook design. Thus, the present study aimed to analyze the intersemiotic complementarity of verbal and visual modes in three English school textbooks from two countries with different policies toward English education at public schools, Iran and Malaysia.

## 2. Methodology

The study is qualitative and descriptive, utilizing Royce's (1998) framework for intersemiotic complementarity between linguistic modes and images. This approach is rooted in Halliday's metafunctional communication theory and further influenced by Kress and van Leeuwen, and O'Toole's reinterpretation of metafunctions. It focuses on how language and images semantically complement each other. The primary focus is on the ideational metafunction, analyzing the semantic integration of visual and verbal modes. This

involves examining the represented participants, activities, circumstances, and attributes within the visual elements of the textbooks, and how these align with the verbal narratives to facilitate learning.

The corpus includes images and dialogues from three English textbooks: Prospect 1 (Iran), and Form 1 and Pulse 2 (Malaysia). The selection includes both locally produced and imported textbooks to compare the effects of different production approaches on intersemiotic complementarity.

The analysis procedure unfolds in a systematic manner, beginning with the examination of visual meanings in the images from the Prospect 1 textbook, followed by identifying and relating these visual elements to the verbal dialogues within. This process is replicated for the Form 1 and Pulse 2 textbooks, enabling a comprehensive exploration of the intersemiotic complementarity between visual and verbal modes in each case. The study concludes with a comparative analysis across all textbooks to assess the extent of semantic integration and its effectiveness in enhancing the educational content.

### 3. Findings

The following tables show the visual elements and meanings extracted from the images accompanying each dialogue section found in the concerned textbooks in the rows shaded by gray. In the rows below each row containing the visual elements, verbal ones are mentioned in the form of sense relations and the number of their occurrence. Obviously, this was done due to limitation of space. For the same reason, the attributes are not mentioned in the form of elements as they are a lot and they are not required to be mentioned in the texts. As it is known, the attributes of the characters (age, clothes, color of clothes, eyes, hair, etc.) are drawn, imaged or photographed in images and pictures as an integral part that cannot be separated from the nature of the images. So, it is justified that they are not necessary for the content of all texts unless the content of the text is about those very attributes.

Table 2 shows the ideational intersemiotic complementarity of visual and verbal modes in *Prospect 1* from Iran.

Table 2: Ideational Intersemiotic Complementarity in *Prospect 1* from Iran

| Ser. | Identification |                  | Activity                                       |         | Circumstances |              | Attributes |
|------|----------------|------------------|------------------------------------------------|---------|---------------|--------------|------------|
| 1    | Teacher        | Students         | Explaining/teaching                            |         | Classroom     |              | Attributes |
|      | 1H, 2R         | 2H, 3R           | 0                                              |         | 1R            |              | 0          |
| 2    | Teacher        | Students         | Teaching/explaining/asking questions           |         | Classroom     |              | Attributes |
|      | 1R             | 2H, 1R           | 2H, 4C                                         |         | 1R            |              | 0          |
| 3    | 3 students     |                  | Greeting/introducing                           |         | School        |              | Attributes |
|      | 6H, 1C         |                  | 7C                                             |         | 1R            |              | 0          |
| 4    | Librarian      | Student          | Giving and taking                              |         | Library       | Library card | Attributes |
|      | IR             | 1H, IR           | IC                                             |         | 0             | 2R           | 0          |
| 5    | Teacher        | Student 1        | Asking and answering questions                 |         | Classroom     |              | Attributes |
|      | 1R             | 2H               | 3H                                             |         | 0             |              | 0          |
| 6    | Student 1      | Student 2        | Explaining something from the book / reviewing |         | School        | Book         | Attributes |
|      | 2H             | 2H               | 0                                              |         | 0             | 0            | 0          |
| 7    | Student 1      | Student 2        | Showing a picture                              |         | Chair         | Desk         | Book       |
|      | 1R             | 1R               | 1R, 1C                                         |         | 0             | 0            | 0          |
| 8    | Student        | School secretary | Asking questions from student                  |         | School office |              | Attributes |
|      | 1 R            | 1R               | 2H                                             |         | 0             |              | 0          |
| 9    | Two men        | Student          | Introducing/greeting                           | Showing | Book          | Folder       | Drawer     |
|      | 4H, 1R         | 1H               | 0                                              | 1C      | 0             | 0            | 0          |
| 10   | 2 students     | Teacher          | Explaining from the book /showing              |         | Book          |              | Attributes |

|    | 1R        | 2R        | 0                                                   | 0                                       | 2R        |            |
|----|-----------|-----------|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| 11 | Woman     | Boy       | Arriving from school, asking for lunch              |                                         | Kitchen   | Attributes |
|    | 1H        | 1H        | 1R, 1C                                              |                                         | 1R, 1C    | 0          |
| 12 | Students  | Teacher   | Pointing to a picture and asking questions/teaching |                                         | Classroom | Book       |
|    | 2H        | 1R        | 2H, 2R                                              |                                         | 0         | 0          |
| 13 | Student 1 | Student 2 | Taking notes                                        | Explaining/retelling/reporting          | Pen       | Paper      |
|    | 2H        | 1H        | 0                                                   | 0                                       | 0         | 0          |
| 14 | 3 men     |           | Typing                                              | Showing/pointing something on the paper | Office    |            |
|    | 1H, 1R    |           | 0                                                   | 0                                       | 0         |            |
| 15 | Student 1 | Student 2 | Explaining/teaching                                 |                                         | Home      | Book       |
|    | 1R        | 1R        | 1H                                                  |                                         | 0         | 0          |
| 16 | Students  | Teacher   | Asking a question                                   |                                         | Classroom |            |
|    | 1H        | 1H, 1R    | 1H                                                  |                                         | 0         |            |

R=Repetition, S=Synonymy, A=Antonymy, M=Meronymy, H=Hyponymy, C=Collocation

As the data reveal, the participants are clearly depicted in the images as well as in the language of the dialogues. Regarding the activity, the intersemiotic complementarity is weak as in 6 out of 16 cases, no cohesion is observed between the visual and verbal meanings. For example, the verbal meaning of the dialogue related to the row No. 1 in terms of activity is introducing. As it can be observed, the process depicted in the image is something different from the story said in the dialogue and the image does not replicate it to make learning facilitated or support the language and even hinders learning as it sets a different scene in the mind of the viewer which is totally different from that made in the language. Regarding the circumstances, the cohesion is poor. In 11 out of 16 cases, there is no verbal element related to the visual ones in the text of dialogues. Attributes are related in two cases (rows 9 and 10) in which the dialogues are about the appearance and the cohesion is clearly established.

For more clarification of the number and type of sense relations showed in the above table, the following one is presented. It is worth mentioning that the numbers in this table are for making comparisons in the very textbook analyzed in this stage, that is, *Prospect 1*. They cannot be compared with the numbers which will be mentioned for the other two books of Malaysia, because the number of pictures is not the same in the three books.

Table 3: Frequency of Lexical Cohesion Categories in *Prospect 1*

|                | R  | S | A | M | H  | C  | Total |
|----------------|----|---|---|---|----|----|-------|
| Identification | 23 |   |   |   | 36 | 1  | 60    |
| Activity       | 4  |   |   |   | 11 | 15 | 30    |
| Circumstances  | 6  |   |   |   |    | 1  | 7     |
| Attributes     | 6  |   |   |   |    |    | 6     |

R=Repetition, S=Synonymy, A=Antonymy, M=Meronymy, H=Hyponymy, C=Collocation

As it is shown, the decreasing trend of number of sense relations from identification to attributes is compatible with the results obtained in the above table as representation of participants is strong, that of the activity is weak, that of circumstances is poor and attributes are only represented in the related topics.

Further, the category of lexical cohesion of the highest frequency is hyponymy and repetition and collocations are in the next positions.

Table 4 shows the intersemiotic complementarity of visual and verbal modes in *Form 1* from Malaysia.

Table 4: Ideational Intersemiotic Complementarity in *Form 1* from Malaysia

|   | Identification              |                                               |                       |                           | Activity                                   |                               |                     | Circumstances       |                     |                   | Attributes        |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 1 | <i>Football</i>             |                                               | <i>Football shoes</i> |                           | <i>Playing football</i>                    |                               |                     | -                   |                     |                   | <i>Attributes</i> |
|   | 4H, 2R                      |                                               | 2C                    |                           | 8C                                         |                               |                     |                     |                     |                   | 0                 |
| 2 | <i>A boy</i>                |                                               | <i>A boy</i>          |                           | <i>Video call / telephone conversation</i> |                               |                     | <i>Iphones</i>      |                     |                   | <i>Attributes</i> |
|   | 2H                          |                                               | 4H                    |                           | 7C                                         |                               |                     | 3H, 1C              |                     |                   | 0                 |
| 3 | <i>Two boys</i>             | <i>Spaceship</i>                              | <i>Alien</i>          | <i>Walking</i>            | <i>Informing</i>                           | <i>Disembarking</i>           | <i>Road</i>         | <i>Trees</i>        | <i>Torch</i>        | <i>Attributes</i> |                   |
|   | 6H                          | 1R                                            | 1R, 1C                | 0                         | 4R, 2C                                     | 1R                            | 0                   | 6R                  | 1R                  | 0                 | 0                 |
|   |                             |                                               |                       | <i>Landed</i>             | <i>Following</i>                           | <i>Torch shining</i>          |                     |                     |                     |                   |                   |
|   |                             |                                               |                       | 1S, 1R                    | 0                                          | 1R                            |                     |                     |                     |                   |                   |
| 4 | <i>Lid with date label</i>  | <i>Shopping cart</i>                          | <i>A hand</i>         | <i>Food</i>               | <i>Shopping</i>                            |                               |                     | -                   |                     |                   | <i>Attributes</i> |
|   | 3R                          | 2R                                            | 0                     | 0                         | 5S, 1C                                     |                               |                     |                     |                     |                   | 0                 |
| 5 | <i>a man</i>                |                                               | <i>a woman</i>        |                           | <i>Shopping</i>                            | <i>Telephone conversation</i> | <i>Shop</i>         | <i>Home</i>         | <i>Mobile phone</i> | <i>Attributes</i> |                   |
|   | 1H, 1C                      |                                               | 1H, 1C                |                           | 2S                                         | 5C                            | 0                   | 0                   | 1C                  | 0                 | 0                 |
| 6 | <i>3 men</i>                | <i>A woman</i>                                | <i>Judge</i>          | <i>Distressed talking</i> | <i>Requesting for money</i>                | <i>Stopping/pleading</i>      | <i>Ready to cut</i> | <i>City</i>         | <i>Court</i>        | <i>Knife</i>      | <i>Attributes</i> |
|   | 9H                          | 2H                                            | 2R                    | 0                         | 4H, 4C                                     | 2C                            | 1R                  | 0                   | 2R, 3C              | 1R                | 0                 |
| 7 | <i>a girl</i>               |                                               | <i>a boy</i>          |                           | <i>Telephone conversation</i>              |                               |                     | <i>Mobile phone</i> |                     |                   | <i>Attributes</i> |
|   | 1H                          |                                               | 1H                    |                           | 10C                                        |                               |                     | 0                   |                     |                   | 0                 |
| 8 | <i>Hotel</i>                | <i>Green road</i>                             | <i>Zoo</i>            | <i>Post office</i>        | <i>Giving address</i>                      |                               |                     | -                   |                     |                   | <i>Attributes</i> |
|   | 1R                          | 1R                                            | 0                     | 0                         | 9C                                         |                               |                     |                     |                     |                   | 0                 |
|   | <i>Plaza</i>                | <i>Tourist information center</i>             | <i>Stadium</i>        |                           |                                            |                               |                     |                     |                     |                   |                   |
|   | 0                           | 2R                                            | 0                     |                           |                                            |                               |                     |                     |                     |                   |                   |
|   | <i>Black road</i>           | <i>T-junction</i>                             | <i>Bank</i>           |                           |                                            |                               |                     |                     |                     |                   |                   |
|   | 1R                          | 1R                                            | 0                     |                           |                                            |                               |                     |                     |                     |                   |                   |
|   | <i>Blue road</i>            | <i>Museum</i>                                 | <i>Cross-road</i>     |                           |                                            |                               |                     |                     |                     |                   |                   |
|   | 1R                          | 1R                                            | 1R                    |                           |                                            |                               |                     |                     |                     |                   |                   |
|   | <i>Fire station</i>         | <i>Roundabout</i>                             | <i>Yellow road</i>    |                           |                                            |                               |                     |                     |                     |                   |                   |
|   | 1R                          | 2R                                            | 0                     |                           |                                            |                               |                     |                     |                     |                   |                   |
| 9 | <i>Snorkeling equipment</i> | <i>Backpack with tents &amp; sleeping bag</i> | <i>Picnic bag</i>     |                           | <i>Going on a trip</i>                     |                               |                     | -                   |                     |                   | <i>Attributes</i> |
|   | 1R                          | 3R                                            | 1C                    |                           | 4H, 6C                                     |                               |                     |                     |                     |                   | 0                 |

R=Repetition, S=Synonymy, A=Antonymy, M=Meronymy, H=Hyponymy, C=Collocation

As the data reveal, the participants are clearly depicted in images as well as in the language of the dialogues. In two cases where the images show a picture of some objects and map of a city for giving address (rows 4 and 8), some objects or names have no counterparts in the text which is justified as they are not required for the content of the text and meaning. Regarding the activity, all processes have sense relations in the text and the intersemiotic complementarity is strong. There are two cases of processes that can be derived out of the images but have no counterparts in the text. These cases are similarly justified as they are not necessarily required and other processes have been realized and completed the meaning. Regarding the circumstances, no relation is observed only in 1 case out of 5. So, the intersemiotic complementarity is strong. Attributes show no relations as they are not required.

Table 5: Frequency of Lexical Cohesion Categories in *Form 1*

|                | R  | S | A | M | H  | C  | Total |
|----------------|----|---|---|---|----|----|-------|
| Identification | 27 |   |   |   | 31 | 5  | 64    |
| Activity       | 8  | 8 |   |   | 8  | 54 | 78    |
| Circumstances  | 10 |   |   |   | 3  | 5  | 18    |
| Attributes     |    |   |   |   |    |    | 0     |

As it is shown in Table 5, the higher frequency of lexical cohesion is for the activity, then for participants and finally for circumstances, which is attributes is compatible with the results obtained in the above table. Further, the category of lexical cohesion of the highest frequency is hyponymy and collocations and repetition are in the next positions.

Table 6 shows the intersemiotic complementarity of visual and verbal modes in *Pulse 2* from Malaysia.

Table 6: Ideational Intersemiotic Complementarity in *Pulse 2* from Malaysia

| Ser. | Identification              |                               | Activity                                                   |                        |                      | Circumstances              |                     | Attributes        |
|------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| 1    | <i>Student</i>              | <i>Student</i>                | <i>Greeting/ introducing</i>                               |                        |                      | <i>School building</i>     |                     | <i>Attributes</i> |
|      | 1H                          | 1H                            | 6C                                                         |                        |                      | 2C, 1M                     |                     | 0                 |
| 2    | <i>Three boys</i>           |                               | <i>Playing urban sports</i>                                | <i>Parkour playing</i> | <i>Skateboarding</i> | <i>Open urban spaces</i>   | <i>Skateboards</i>  | <i>Attributes</i> |
|      | 5H, 1R                      |                               | 3H, 8R                                                     | 2R, 1C                 | 2R                   | 1S, 2M                     | 1R                  | 6R                |
| 3    | <i>Photo of a landscape</i> | <i>Photo of a boy</i>         | <i>Making a funny face to the camera</i>                   |                        |                      |                            |                     | <i>Attributes</i> |
|      | 3R, 1C                      | 1H                            | 1R                                                         |                        |                      |                            |                     | 2R                |
| 4    | <i>Chris</i>                | <i>Registration secretary</i> | <i>Taking or asking for information</i>                    |                        |                      | <i>Active camps office</i> | <i>Leaflet</i>      | <i>Attributes</i> |
|      | 2H                          | 1R                            | 1S, 1R, 5C, 5M                                             |                        |                      | 1S, 2R                     | 1R                  | 0                 |
| 5    | <i>Chris</i>                | <i>Lucy</i>                   | <i>Showing/ enjoying</i>                                   |                        |                      | <i>Cafeteria</i>           | <i>Mobile phone</i> | <i>Attributes</i> |
|      | 1R                          | 1R                            | 4S, 3R, 2C                                                 |                        |                      | 0                          | 0                   | 0                 |
| 6    | <i>Photo of a boy</i>       | <i>House</i>                  | <i>Earthquake</i>                                          |                        |                      | -                          |                     | <i>Attributes</i> |
|      | 1H                          | 1H, 4R, 9M                    | 4R, 1C                                                     |                        |                      |                            |                     | 1R                |
| 7    | <i>Lucy</i>                 | <i>Chris</i>                  | <i>Telephone conversation</i>                              |                        |                      | <i>A sofa</i>              | <i>Out</i>          | <i>Attributes</i> |
|      | 1R                          | 1H                            | 2S, 1R, 11C                                                |                        |                      | 0                          | 0                   | 0                 |
| 8    | <i>Zookeeper</i>            | <i>Lucy</i>                   | <i>Asking and answering/ giving and taking information</i> |                        |                      | <i>Zoo</i>                 |                     | <i>Attributes</i> |
|      | 1R                          | 1R                            | 2S, 3C                                                     |                        |                      | 1R, 1C, 4M                 |                     | 0                 |

R=Repetition, S=Synonymy, A=Antonymy, M=Meronymy, H=Hyponymy, C=Collocation

As the data reveal, the participants have been clearly depicted in images as well as in the language of the dialogues. Regarding the activity, all processes have sense relations in the text and intersemiotic complementarity is strong. Regarding the circumstances, 2 cases out of 6 show no cohesion. Attributes in two cases (row 2 and 3) are represented, which are photos.

Table 7: Frequency of Lexical Cohesion Categories in *Pulse 2*

|                | R  | S | A | M | H  | C  | Total |
|----------------|----|---|---|---|----|----|-------|
| Identification | 12 |   |   | 9 | 13 | 1  | 37    |
| Activity       | 22 | 9 |   | 5 | 3  | 29 | 68    |
| Circumstances  | 5  | 2 |   | 7 |    | 3  | 17    |
| Attributes     | 9  |   |   |   |    |    | 9     |

As it is shown in Table 7, the higher frequency of lexical cohesion is for the activity, then for participants, circumstances and finally for circumstances, which is attributes is compatible with the results obtained in the above table.

Further, the category of lexical cohesion of the highest frequency is repetition and collocations, hyponymy, meronymy and synonymy are in the next positions.

#### 4. Conclusion

The study's findings showed significant variations in intersemiotic complementarity across English school textbooks from Iran and Malaysia, specifically focusing on ideational aspects. In the Iranian textbook (Prospect 1), a notable disconnect was observed between visual and verbal modes, with a lack of cohesion in activities and circumstances depicted versus described. This misalignment potentially hinders learning by creating confusion or misleading interpretations for students. Conversely, the Malaysian textbooks (Form 1 and Pulse 2) demonstrated a stronger intersemiotic complementarity, with more cohesive and supportive integration of visual and verbal elements. This indicates a more effective use of multimodal resources to facilitate understanding and learning, showcasing the importance of aligning visual and verbal modes in educational materials.

By examining the ideational, interpersonal, and compositional metafunctions as outlined by Halliday's Systemic Functional Linguistics (SFL) theory (Damayanti & Febrianti, 2020; Sugianto et al., 2021), this discussion will highlight the critical role of visual and verbal modes' integration in textbooks. The concept of intersemiotic complementarity, as introduced by Royce (1998) and further explored by Haris et al. (2023), Istighfaroh et al. (2022), and Plass et al. (1998), provides a framework for analyzing how these modes collaborate to facilitate meaning-making and learning. Furthermore, drawing on the diverse applications of Royce's framework across various disciplines (Sugianto et al., 2021; Haris et al., 2023; Jabour & Faisal, 2022), the discussion will examine how the principles of intersemiotic complementarity can be applied to improve textbook design. The insights from studies on multimodal discourse, including works by Chen & Zhong (2022), Lim (2019), and Mahasneh & Abdelal (2022), will be leveraged to understand the complex dynamics of visual-verbal interactions and their impact on students' learning experiences.

In conclusion, this study highlights the critical role of intersemiotic complementarity in English school textbooks from Iran and Malaysia, uncovering significant disparities in the integration of visual and verbal modes. While Iranian textbooks exhibit a notable disconnect that could impede learning, Malaysian textbooks demonstrate effective multimodal integration, enhancing comprehension and educational outcomes. These findings underscore the importance of cohesive visual and verbal content in textbooks to facilitate learning. Notably, the application of Royce's framework in analyzing the intersemiotic complementarity in textbooks from Iran and Malaysia reveals a disparity in the effectiveness of multimodal integration, underscoring the need for a more rigorous design and selection of images and textual content to support the educational goals and enhance students' learning outcomes.

One limitation of this study is its focus on a selected number of textbooks, which may not fully represent the diversity of educational materials across Iran and Malaysia. Additionally, the analysis primarily centers on the ideational function, potentially overlooking the nuances of interpersonal and textual metafunctions in conveying meaning.

Future research should consider a broader range of textbooks and educational materials, including digital resources, to provide a more comprehensive understanding of intersemiotic complementarity. Investigations could also expand to other metafunctions, such as interpersonal and textual, to explore their impact on learning outcomes and student engagement. Moreover, educators and textbook designers are encouraged to prioritize the alignment of visual and verbal modes to enhance learning. This study's findings suggest the necessity for rigorous multimodal content evaluation and design processes, highlighting the potential for improved educational outcomes through the strategic integration of visual and verbal elements.

## Ethical Considerations

In this research, ethical standards such as the principle of avoiding plagiarism were observed.

## Acknowledgments

The researchers would like to thank all those who contributed to the better conduct of this study.

## Authors' Contributions

The student was responsible for collecting data and drafting the initial article, and the professors were responsible for the final writing of the article.

## Conflict of Interest

No conflict of interest was reported in this research.

## References

- Aijmer, K. and Altenberg, B. (2004). Advances in corpus linguistics.. <https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004333710>
- Amilia, F., Werdiningsih, I., & Aditiawan, R. (2022). The development of lemma and meaning in the language variety used by adolescents on social media. *Bahastra*, 42(1), 26-33. <https://doi.org/10.26555/bs.v42i1.33>
- Bartlett, T. and Bowcher, W. (2021). Context in systemic functional linguistics. *Functions of Language*, 28(3), 243-259. <https://doi.org/10.1075/foL.20017.bar>
- Cahyani, F., Rukmini, D., & Fitriati, S. (2021). The role of representational meaning of images in supporting ideational meaning in english in mind: students's book. *English Education Journal*, 11(1), 74-104. <https://doi.org/10.15294/eej.v11i1.43115>
- Chen, S. and Zhong, Z. (2022). Perceiving the poetic world: a corpus-assisted transitivity analysis of poetry comics. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1061169>
- Cloonan, A., Paatsch, L., & Hutchison, K. (2019). Renewing literature circles: pedagogies for curated multimodal responses. *The Reading Teacher*, 73(5), 647-656. <https://doi.org/10.1002/trtr.1875>
- Cmeciu, C. (2022). Representing agency and action in the #storiesfromvaccination governmental campaign in romania. a multimodal approach. *Punctum International Journal of Semiotics*, 08(02), 61-81. <https://doi.org/10.18680/hss.2022.0016>
- Cunningham, K. Modes of feedback in esl writing: implications of shifting from text to screencast.. <https://doi.org/10.31274/etd-180810-5966>
- Dalamu, T. (2018). Evaluating 'registerial' norms in advertising texts: a systemic perspective. *The Buckingham Journal of Language and Linguistics*, 11, 64-95. <https://doi.org/10.5750/bjll.v11i0.1612>
- Damayanti, I. and Febrianti, Y. (2020). Multimodal literacy: unfolding reading path in children's picture book and its potential for efl classrooms. *Indonesian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 9(3), 616-627. <https://doi.org/10.17509/ijal.v9i3.23212>
- Effendi, J., Tjandra, A., Sakti, S., & Nakamura, S. (2020). Augmenting images for asr and tts through single-loop and dual-loop multimodal chain framework.. <https://doi.org/10.21437/interspeech.2020-2001>
- El-Nashar, M. (2023). An intersemiotic analysis of the arabic dubbed version of disney's frozen let it go. *International Journal of Arabic-English Studies*, 23(1), 77-104. <https://doi.org/10.33806/ijaes2000.23.1.5>
- Gu, Y., Chen, S., & Marsic, I. (2018). Deep mul timodal learning for emotion recognition in spoken language.. <https://doi.org/10.1109/icassp.2018.8462440>
- Haider, S. (2022). A corpus-based analysis of coherent writing skills of pakistani english language learners. *Pakistan Languages and Humanities Review*, 6(II). [https://doi.org/10.47205/plhr.2022\(6-ii\)76](https://doi.org/10.47205/plhr.2022(6-ii)76)

- Hapsari, A. (2016). The role of explicit pragmatic instruction to improve second language learners' ideational metafunction of the target language. *Journal of English and Education*, 7(2), 36-41. <https://doi.org/10.20885/jee.vol7.iss2.art4>
- Haris, N., Febrianti, Y., & Yannuar, N. (2023). Exploring augmentation of meaning through intersemiotic complementarity in children comic book series. *Indonesian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 12(3), 752-764. <https://doi.org/10.17509/ijal.v12i3.39951>
- Harvey, A. (2022). Relational clauses in english technical discourse. *Pragmatics Quarterly Publication of the International Pragmatics Association (Ipra)*, 379-400. <https://doi.org/10.1075/prag.11.4.02har>
- Holšánová, J. (2020). Uncovering scientific and multimodal literacy through audio description. *Journal of Visual Literacy*, 39(3-4), 132-148. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1051144x.2020.1826219>
- Hsieh, C., Hsieh, C., & Cheng, P. (2022). Mr. right: multimodal retrieval on representation of image with text.. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2209.13764>
- Im, J., Kim, M., Cho, H., & Chung, S. (2021). Self-supervised multimodal opinion summarization.. <https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2021.acl-long.33>
- Istighfaroh, A., Yannuar, N., Febrianti, Y., r, N., & Basthomi, Y. (2022). Little dim sum warriors: translanguaging of chinese and english in educational comic books for bilingual children. *3l the Southeast Asian Journal of English Language Studies*, 28(3), 35-51. <https://doi.org/10.17576/3l-2022-2803-03>
- Jabour, R. and Faisal, W. (2022). An investigation of the integration of inter-semiotic complementarity in iraqi efl textbook. *Register Journal*, 15(2), 245-263. <https://doi.org/10.18326/rjt.v15i2.245-263>
- Jerome, C. and Ting, S. (2021). What's in a message: a systemic functional analysis of cancer prevention messages. *International Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 32(1), 57-75. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijal.12380>
- Khusna, W. and Hardjatno, N. (2022). The ideational meaning of covid-19 health promotion posters: multimodal discourse. *Diksi*, 30(1), 57-65. <https://doi.org/10.21831/diksi.v30i1.47248>
- Koutsikou, M., Christidou, V., Papadopoulou, M., & Bonoti, F. (2021). Interpersonal meaning: verbal text–image relations in multimodal science texts for young children. *Education Sciences*, 11(5), 245. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci11050245>
- Leijon, M. (2016). Space as designs for and in learning: investigating the interplay between space, interaction and learning sequences in higher education. *Visual Communication*, 15(1), 93-124. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1470357215608553>
- Lim, F. (2019). Investigating intersemiosis: a systemic functional multimodal discourse analysis of the relationship between language and gesture in classroom discourse. *Visual Communication*, 20(1), 34-58. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1470357218820695>
- Ling, Z. and Fu, Q. (2019). Interpretation of the multimodal cultural pattern of urban tourism images of shaanxi.. <https://doi.org/10.2991/ichssd-19.2019.20>
- Liu, X. (2017). Multimodal exemplification: the expansion of meaning in electronic dictionaries. *Lexikos*, 27(1). <https://doi.org/10.5788/27-1-1404>
- Lopez-Ozieblo, R. (2021). Learning from a corpus of students' academic writing. *Revista Signos*, 54(106), 645-667. <https://doi.org/10.4067/s0718-09342021000200645>
- Mahasneh, A. and Abdelal, R. (2022). Resemiotization of illustrations in children's picture books between english and arabic. *Sage Open*, 12(2), 21582440221093364. <https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440221093364>
- Maier, C. (2009). Visual evaluation in film trailers. *Visual Communication*, 8(2), 159-180. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1470357209102112>
- Maier, C. (2017). Multimodal communication of specialized knowledge across hypertext innovation and generic tradition. *Hermes - Journal of Language and Communication in Business*, (41), 59-80. <https://doi.org/10.7146/hjlc.v21i41.96814>

- Memon, S. (2021). A multimodal analysis of selected placards from the 'aurat march' 2018 of pakistan. *Review of Applied Management and Social Sciences*, 4(2), 467-478. <https://doi.org/10.47067/ramss.v4i2.147>
- Nurfaizah, N. (2022). Multimodal discourse analysis of visual protest on climate change. *English Journal of Indragiri*, 6(2), 246-266. <https://doi.org/10.32520/eji.v6i2.1984>
- Pazaer, N. and Assaiqeli, A. (2023). Pre-service teachers' views on using english comics to improve pupils' english language skills. *Studies in English Language and Education*, 10(1), 197-215. <https://doi.org/10.24815/siele.v10i1.21836>
- Pederson, R. and Myers, J. (2021). Critical literacy and semiotic juxtaposition: the possibilities of multimodal pedagogy in korea. *Stem Journal*, 22(2), 73-92. <https://doi.org/10.16875/stem.2021.22.2.73>
- Pineh, A. (2016). A critical review of consciousness-raising approaches: applied linguistics vs. systemic functional linguistics. *International Journal of Linguistics*, 8(2), 12. <https://doi.org/10.5296/ijl.v8i2.9273>
- Plass, J., Chun, D., Mayer, R., & Leutner, D. (1998). Supporting visual and verbal learning preferences in a second-language multimedia learning environment.. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 90(1), 25-36. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.90.1.25>
- Smith, B. (2018). Composing for affect, audience, and identity: toward a multidimensional understanding of adolescents' multimodal composing goals and designs. *Written Communication*, 35(2), 182-214. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0741088317752335>
- Sugianto, A. (2021). 'can we see it?': contextualizing 'deforestation' from an english-medium science textbook for a primary school level. *J-Lalite Journal of English Studies*, 2(2), 86. <https://doi.org/10.20884/1.jes.2021.2.2.5072>
- Sugianto, A., Andriyani, D., & Prasetyo, I. (2021). The visual-verbal text interrelation: lessons from the ideational meanings of a phonics material in a primary level efl textbook. *Enjourme (English Journal of Merdeka)*, 6(1), 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.26905/enjourme.v6i1.5865>
- Sukma, M., Harti, L., & Ariyanti, L. (2022). Revealing equitera campaign by united nations women: multimodal perspective. *Prosodi*, 16(2), 136-152. <https://doi.org/10.21107/prosodi.v16i2.14201>