

**Article history:**  
**Received 13 March 2024**  
**Revised 25 May 2024**  
**Accepted 8 July 2024**  
**Published online 23 July 2024**

## Determinants of Perceived Well-being in Friendship Relationships during Emerging Adulthood: Testing a Structural Model Based on Self-Determination Theory

Farhad. Tanhayeh Reshvanloo<sup>1</sup>, Hossein. Kareshki<sup>2\*</sup>, Seyed Amir. AminYazdi<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Ph.D. Department of Psychology, Shandiz Institute of Higher Education, Mashhad, Iran.

<sup>2</sup> Associate Professor Department of Counseling and Educational Psychology, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran.

<sup>3</sup> Professor Department of Counseling and Educational Psychology, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran.

\* Corresponding author email address: farhadtanhay@um.ac.ir

### Article Info

#### Article type:

Original Research

#### How to cite this article:

Tanhayeh Reshvanloo, F., Kareshki, H., Amin Yazdi, S.A. (2024). Determinants of Perceived Well-being in Friendship Relationships during Emerging Adulthood: Testing a Structural Model Based on Self-Determination Theory. *Iranian Journal of Educational Sociology*, 7(3), 181-195.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.61838/kman.ijes.7.3.21>



© 2024 the authors. Published by Iranian Association for Sociology of Education, Tehran, Iran. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0) License.

### ABSTRACT

**Purpose:** This study aimed to investigate the critical determinants of perceived well-being in friendship relationships during emerging adulthood.

**Methodology:** A sample of 726 undergraduate students at Ferdowsi University of Mashhad was selected during the Fall semester of 2021-2022 through the convenience sampling method. They completed the Interpersonal Behaviors Questionnaire (IBQ; Rocchi et al., 2017), the Compassionate and Self-image Goals Scale (CSIGS; Crocker & Canevello, 2008), the Basic Need Satisfaction in Relationships Scale (BNS-RS; La Guardia et al., 2000), the Interpersonal Needs Questionnaire (INQ-10; Bryan., 2011), the Friendship Self-Regulation Questionnaire (SRQ-F; Ryan & Connell, 1989), Interpersonal Behaviors Questionnaire-Self (IBQ-self; Rocchi et al., 2017), the State Level Subjective Vitality Scale (SVS-SL; Ryan & Frederick, 1997), the Perceived Relationship Quality Component (PRQC; Fletcher et al., 2000), and the The Basic Empathy Scale (BES; Jolliffe & Farrington, 2006). Data analysis was conducted using Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling. All statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS.25, AMOS.24, and SmartPLS 3.3.3.

**Findings:** The perceived support of basic psychological needs by friends ( $\beta=.204$ ), the perceived thwarting of basic psychological needs by friends ( $\beta=-.147$ ), the goal in friendship relationships ( $\beta=.234$ ), the satisfied basic psychological needs ( $\beta=.124$ ), the frustrated psychological needs ( $\beta=-.116$ ), and the friendship self-regulation ( $\beta=.023$ ) had significant indirect effects on perceived well-being in friendship relationships ( $p<0.05$ ).

**Conclusion:** The findings demonstrate that self-determination theory provides a coherent framework for explaining perceived well-being in friendships.

**Keywords:** Perceived Well-being in Friendship Relationships, Basic Psychological Needs, Self-regulation, Self-determination Theory (SDT).

## 1. Introduction

Emerging adulthood is a newly recognised concept in lifespan studies, encompassing the period from the end of adolescence to the early stages of adulthood. This age range typically spans 18-25 years (Arnett & Mitra, 2018) and is characterised by identity exploration, instability, self-focus, in-betweenness and possibility (Arnett, 2018; Arnett & Mitra, 2018). It is rare for someone to not be involved in intimate relationships with others during emerging adulthood. Multiple relationships, transient nature, lack of commitment and intimacy, or difficulty in forming and maintaining lasting and meaningful relationships are common during this stage. Getting involved in relationships can therefore be seen as central to emerging adulthood. (Shulman et al., 2013). Erik Erikson's (1968) psychosocial development theory proposes that the crisis of emerging adulthood is conceptualized as intimacy versus isolation (Ghorbani & Yazarloo, 2021; Jahanshahi et al., 2024; Mahdian et al., 2022; Tanhaye Reshvanloo et al., 2021; Tanhaye Reshvanloo et al., 2024). At this stage, individuals strive to establish intimate relationships with others. Successfully resolving this crisis can lead to the creation of satisfying relationships characterized by commitment, security, and care. Conversely, avoiding intimacy, fear of commitment, and relationships can lead to isolation and loneliness (Tanhaye Reshvanloo et al., 2021). Ratelle et al (2013) suggested that after a period of childhood in which relationships with parents are particularly important, the role of friends as a supportive resource during emotional challenges increases during adolescence and emerging adulthood. During this period, the quantity and quality of friendships help individuals to cope with academic and personal challenges and facilitate their well-being (Ratelle et al., 2013).

Relationship well-being refers to the establishment of warm, empathetic, and intimate relationships accompanied by commitment, satisfaction, vitality, and trust (Gaine & La Guardia, 2009; La Guardia et al., 2000; Matud et al., 2022). Low levels of relationship well-being with friends during emerging adulthood have been associated with depression (Kopala-Sibley et al., 2015), loneliness (Doumen et al., 2012), and low self-esteem (Özabacı & Eryılmaz, 2015). On the other hand, high-quality interpersonal relationships at this age are accompanied by happiness (Demir, 2010), hope (Booker et al., 2021), and life satisfaction (Crocetti & Meeus, 2014; Samadieh & Tanhaye Reshvanloo, 2023).

One of the contemporary theories that has been able to address well-being from an organismic, contextual, and motivational perspective and to examine the role of basic psychological needs is Self-Determination Theory (Deci & Ryan, 2013; Deci & Ryan, 2014; Ryan, 1995; Ryan & Connell, 1989; Ryan & Deci, 2000). This theory refers to the eudemonistic approach in investigating well-being, contrasting hedonistic views that consider increasing pleasure and reducing pain as the essence of well-being, emphasizing happiness and the pursuit of it (Enayati Shabkolai et al., 2023; Ghahremani et al., 2022; Vaziri et al., 2021). In contrast, the eudemonistic approach focuses on the realization of personal goals, striving for excellence, and the actualization of individual potential (Ryan & Deci, 2000). Self-determination theory (SDT) posits that people have an intrinsic motivation for growth and fulfilment. When individuals are intrinsically motivated, they move autonomously towards experiencing new challenges. This theory aims to understand why individuals engage in various activities (Ryan & Deci, 2020).

Self-determination theory (SDT) comprises several sub-theories. According to Cognitive Evaluation Theory (CET), intrinsic motivation is influenced by supportive environments and contexts. Thus, in addition to interactions with parents during childhood (Grolnick, 2009), educational (Sjöblom et al., 2016) and occupational (Van den Broeck et al., 2016) environments can play a role in fostering and nurturing intrinsic motivation in individuals. The impact of environments on intrinsic motivation and individual well-being occurs through basic psychological needs satisfaction. Based on the Basic Psychological Needs Theory (BPNT), intrinsic motivation and individual well-being are associated with the satisfaction of three inherent and universal needs: autonomy, competence, and relatedness (Ryan et al., 2013; Ryan et al., 2021). Autonomy refers to an individual's basic tendency to be the cause or agent of their actions: competence involves the perception of mastery over actions and goal attainment, and relatedness suggests that the exploratory behaviour inherent in all humans is enhanced when individuals act on the basis of a sense of basic security or relatedness. Family, friends, educational and occupational contexts can contribute to positive individual development by providing a supportive environment for the fulfilment of basic psychological needs (Ryan et al., 2013; Ryan & Deci, 2000, 2020).

Furthermore, the lack of interpersonal need satisfaction can have seriously affect individuals' well-being. In this regard, the Interpersonal-psychological theory of suicidal

behavior (IPTS) considers perceived burdensomeness as the individual's perception of being a burden to others, lacking contribution to the welfare and well-being of the group, and thwarted belongingness as the sense of lacking connection with others and experiencing the weakening or loss of previously meaningful relationships (Batterham & Caele, 2021; Bryan, 2011; Ordoñez-Carrasco et al., 2018). Suicidal tendencies arise from unmet needs for relatedness and competence, as well as perceived burdensomeness (Batterham & Caele, 2021). Research indicates that basic psychological needs satisfaction is positively associated with happiness (Bahraei et al., 2022; Ren & Jiang, 2021), vitality (Carmignola et al., 2021), positive relationships with others, relationship quality (Philippe et al., 2013), subjective well-being (Wang et al., 2017), and negatively associated with stress and social deprivation (Samadieh & Tanhaye Reshvanloo, 2023) and depression (Wang et al., 2017).

According to Goal Contents Theory (GCT), individuals who base their life goals on an intrinsic orientation that emphasises relatedness, personal growth, meaningful relationships, and social contribution will experience positive psychological outcomes (Kasser & Ryan, 1996). In the context of friendships, compassionate and self-image goals are introduced (Crocker & Canevello, 2008). Compassionate goals involve supporting others unconditionally, including overlooking their mistakes, seeking positive change in their lives, and avoiding selfishness in interactions. Self-image goals involve planning, effort and focus on gaining attention and approval from others, seeking validation and affirmation. Intrinsic goals (compassionate goals) are associated with high well-being, while extrinsic goals (self-image goals) are associated with lower well-being (Hope et al., 2019).

According to Organismic Integration Theory (OIT), the tendency towards autonomous motivation gradually shifts during internalization from external regulation to introjected regulation, identified regulation and integrated regulation, ultimately leading to self-regulation. Initially, behavior regulation is based on external standards and others' judgments, but through internalization, personal standards become the basis for regulating behavior. The process of internalization is strengthened by the need for competence and relatedness. Thus, the basic psychological needs satisfaction leads to self-regulation (Ryan & Deci, 2020). Finally, the Relationships Motivation Theory (RMT) suggests that the satisfaction of relatedness internally motivates individuals to establish close and voluntary relationships, fostering satisfaction and well-being in

relationships. According to this sub-theory, the satisfaction of all three psychological needs in the context of relationships contributes to well-being and relationship satisfaction, provided that social support (from family, friends, spouse, etc.) is sufficient for self-regulation (Ryan & Deci, 2020).

Overall, according to the self-determination theory (SDT), perceiving well-being in relationships is contingent upon supportive contexts, intrinsic goals for the relationship, basic psychological needs satisfaction, and self-regulation in relationships (Samadieh & Tanhaye Reshvanloo, 2023; Tanhaye Reshvanloo et al., 2020). Individuals experience satisfaction, happiness, and intrinsic enjoyment in their relationships when the surrounding context facilitates the satisfaction of their basic needs, allowing them to set intrinsic goals for the relationship and autonomously regulate their behaviors. The literature suggests that the role of these constructs has been explored more in educational and vocational contexts, and in relation to parents and teachers, than in the context of friendships in emerging adulthood. Additionally, the role of individuals' supportive behaviors in meeting the basic psychological needs of others in friendships, their impact on the individual's need satisfaction, and the implications for individuals' well-being have received less attention. In their study, Rocchi et al (2017) showed that individuals' support or thwarting of others' psychological needs is related to the satisfaction or frustration of their own basic psychological needs in relationships. In other words, when individuals create an environment in their relationships that facilitates the satisfaction of others' basic psychological needs, this in turn contributes to the satisfaction of their own basic psychological needs (Rocchi et al., 2017).

As mentioned earlier, intimate relationships, especially friendships, pose significant challenges during emerging adulthood. The university environment plays a crucial role in the formation and strengthening of intimate relationships. Entering university is a universal event in emerging adulthood and is a sensitive and influential time in an individual's life (Dolatshahee et al., 2016). This transition is also significant in terms of interpersonal relationships. On the one hand, it encompasses the first years of independent living away from family, on the other hand, it distances individuals from the intimate relationships of adolescence with friends and introduces them to diverse, more independent, and sometimes unstable and temporary relationships such as roommate relationships, classmates, professors, student organizations, and so on. If intimacy,

commitment, trust, and satisfaction are not fostered in these relationships, it can lead to feelings of loneliness and isolation (Tett et al., 2017).

Overall, self-determination theory (SDT) can be used as a theoretical framework to describe and explain perceived well-being in friendship relationships. However, further investigation is needed to examine the mechanisms of context, goals, satisfaction or frustration of basic psychological needs, self-regulation, and individual behaviors in this domain. It seems that these relationships, as discussed within the framework of self-determination theory (Ryan & Connell, 1989; Ryan & Deci, 2020), are of a multidimensional, and certain variables, such as self-regulation, play a mediating role in this context. Therefore, considering the limited research, particularly in Persian culture, on friendships during emerging adulthood from motivational perspectives such as self-determination theory (SDT), the present study aimed to use structural equation modeling to determine the role of the perceived support/thwarting of basic psychological needs by friends and the goals in friendship relationships, with perceived well-being in friendship relationships, with the mediating role of satisfied/ frustrated basic psychological needs, friendship self-regulation, and supporting/ thwarting the basic psychological needs of friends.

## 2. Methods and Materials

### 2.1. Study Design and Participants

Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) was used to identify the critical determinants of perceived well-being in friendships. Hair et al. (2021) believed that PLS-SEM is more suitable when the study aims to explore complex relationships within a theoretical framework, especially when the structural model is complex with numerous constructs and indicators. The research population consisted of undergraduate students at the Ferdowsi University of Mashhad. In determining the sample size, Hair et al. (2021), they have believed that in PLS-SEM, the minimum sample size should be ten times the maximum number of indicators related to the constructs in the model (i.e., the construct with the most indicators) or ten times the number of paths that lead to the dependent construct in the model. However, increasing the sample size enhances the accuracy and validity of the model estimates. Based on Tabachnick and Fidell (2019), the formula  $n=20(X)+150$  used, where "x" represents the number of observed variables, and 150 accounts for the number of individuals to

consider the likelihood of sample attrition (due to the electronic data collection method). Accordingly, a sample size of 730 individuals considered. Sampling conducted using the convenience method. Initially, four academic groups (humanities, sciences, engineering and technology, agriculture, and arts) were selected. Data collection continued until the desired sample size reached. Finally, after excluding incomplete questionnaires, the data of 726 individuals were analyzed.

Demographic indicators revealed that the mean age was 20.32 (SD=1.67, ranging from 18 to 25 years). Female participants constituted 68.2% of the sample, and 93.7% were single. The distribution of the sample by field of study showed that 35% of the students were in the humanities, 28.9% in the basic sciences, 30% in the technical and engineering fields, and 1.5% in agriculture, veterinary medicine, and art.

### 2.2. Data Collection

#### 2.2.1. Interpersonal Behaviors

The Interpersonal Behaviors Questionnaire (IBQ) used to assess the perceived support/thwarting of basic psychological needs by friends. This questionnaire consists of 24 items measuring six subscales: autonomy support, autonomy thwarting, competence support, competence thwarting, relatedness support, and relatedness thwarting, and answered on 7-point Likert scale (1 = strongly disagree to 7 = strongly agree). Following the development of the questionnaire, Rocchi et al. (2017) conducted exploratory and confirmatory factor analyses to establish the factorial validity as well as the convergent and discriminant validity of the scale in relation to the measurement of perceived satisfaction of psychological needs in friendships (Rocchi et al., 2017). In the current study, confirmatory factor analysis performed to examine the validity. The results indicated a good fit for the six-factor structure ( $\chi^2/df=2.45$ ; GFI=.90; CFI=.95; NFI=.92; RMSEA=.07). The Cronbach's alpha ( $\alpha$ ) coefficients for the subscales ranged from .89 to .95, demonstrating high internal consistency.

#### 2.2.2. Compassionate and Self-image Goals

This scale, developed consists of 13 items that are scored on a 5-point Likert scale (1=not at all to 5= always), measuring both Compassionate and self-image goals. In the study of Crocker and Canevello (2008), exploratory factor analysis conducted, confirming the two-factor structure.



They reported Cronbach's alpha ( $\alpha$ ) coefficients of .83 for compassionate goals and .90 for self-image goals (Crocker & Canevello, 2008). In this study, confirmatory factor analysis performed to examine validity. Results showed a good fit for the two-factor structure ( $\chi^2/df=1.41$ ; GFI=.95; CFI=.98; NFI=.93; RMSEA=.04). The Cronbach's alpha ( $\alpha$ ) coefficients for compassionate and self-image goals were obtained as .87 and .85, respectively.

#### 2.2.3. Basic Need Satisfaction in Relationships

The Basic Need Satisfaction in Relationships Scale (BNS-RS) assessed the satisfied basic psychological needs in friendship relationships. This scale measures autonomy, competence, and relatedness with nine items that answered in a 7-point Likert scale (1 = not at all true for me to 7 = very true for me). The reported Cronbach's alpha ( $\alpha$ ) coefficients for this scale range from .85 to .94 (Langdon et al., 2018). In a study conducted among Iranian students, Tanhaye Reshvanloo et al. (2023) examined the psychometric properties of this scale. They reported exploratory and confirmatory factor analysis, confirming the desirable three-factor structure. They also examined the scale's reliability using Cronbach's alpha ( $\alpha$ ) coefficients, ranging from .68 to .83 (Tanhaye Reshvanloo et al., 2023). In the present study, these coefficients ranged from .80 to .89.

#### 2.2.4. Interpersonal Needs

The 10-item Interpersonal Needs Questionnaire (INQ-10) was used to measure frustrated basic psychological needs in friendship relationships. This questionnaire consists of 10 items and measures the perceived burdensomeness and thwarted belongingness. All items are scored on a 7-point Likert scale (1= not at all true for me to 7= very true for me). The factorial validity of this questionnaire confirmed. Cronbach's alpha ( $\alpha$ ) coefficients reported in various studies range from .82 to .94 (Ordoñez-Carrasco et al., 2018). In the study of Tanhaye Reshvanloo et al. (2021), confirmatory and exploratory factor analyses supported a two-factor structure. They reported Cronbach's alpha ( $\alpha$ ) coefficients of .93 for perceived burdensomeness and .87 for thwarted belongingness (Tanhaye Reshvanloo et al., 2021). In the present study, these coefficients were obtained as .81 and .83, respectively.

#### 2.2.5. Friendship Self-Regulation

The Friendship Self-Regulation Questionnaire (SRQ-F) was used to measure friendship self-regulation. It consists of 20 items that are scored on a 7-point Likert scale (1= not at all true to 7= very true). The items measure four subscales: external regulation, introjected regulation, identified regulation, and intrinsic motivation. Shea et al. (2013) reported a Cronbach's alpha ( $\alpha$ ) coefficient of .87 for this questionnaire. Tanhaye Reshvanloo et al. (2021), confirmed a four-factor structure through exploratory and confirmatory factor analysis. They reported Cronbach's alpha ( $\alpha$ ) coefficients ranging from .84 to .86 (Tanhaye Reshvanloo et al., 2021). In the present study, these coefficients ranged from .72 to .78.

#### 2.2.6. Interpersonal Behaviors -Self

The Interpersonal Behaviors Questionnaire-Self (IBQ-self) used to measure a person's supporting/ thwarting the basic psychological needs of friends. This questionnaire consists of 24 items measuring six subscales: autonomy support, autonomy thwarting, competence support, competence thwarting, relatedness support, and relatedness thwarting, and answered in a 7-point Likert scale (1 = strongly disagree to 7 = strongly agree). Rocchi et al. (2017) examined and confirmed the factorial, convergent, and divergent validity in connection with the Basic Psychological Needs Scale in Relationships (Rocchi et al., 2017). In this study, the reliability and validity of the questionnaire assessed in the context of friendships. Confirmatory factor analysis demonstrated a good fit for the six-factor structure ( $\chi^2/df=2.03$ ; GFI=.90; CFI=.95; NFI=.90; RMSEA=.07). Cronbach's alpha ( $\alpha$ ) coefficients ranged from .83 to .91.

#### 2.2.7. State Level Subjective Vitality

To measure vitality in friendship relationships, the State Level Subjective Vitality Scale (SVS-SL), was used. The original version of this scale consists of seven items that are scored on a 7-point Likert scale (1= not at all true to 7= completely true). Ryan and Frederick (1997) examined and confirmed the factorial validity, and a one-factor structure accounted for 70% of the variance. They also reported a Cronbach's alpha ( $\alpha$ ) coefficient of .92. Tanhaye Reshvanloo et al. (2019), confirmed the exploratory and confirmatory factorial validity of a six-item version. This structure accounted for 75.04% of the variance. The Cronbach's alpha

( $\alpha$ ) coefficients were .93 and .92, respectively (Tanhaye Reshvanloo et al., 2019). In the present study, the Cronbach's alpha ( $\alpha$ ) coefficient obtained as .93.

#### 2.2.8. The Perceived Relationship Quality

The Perceived Relationship Quality Component (PRQC) used to measure satisfaction, commitment, intimacy, and trust in friendship relationships. The responses are scored on a 7-point Likert scale (1= not at all to 7= very much). Fletcher et al. (2000) confirmed the six-factor structure and reported Cronbach's alpha ( $\alpha$ ) coefficients ranging from .74 to .96 (Fletcher et al., 2000). In another study, Sarhadi et al. (2013) confirmed the six-factor structure and obtained a Cronbach's alpha ( $\alpha$ ) coefficient of .86 (Sarhadi et al., 2013). In this study, the subscales of satisfaction, commitment, intimacy, and trust were used. The Cronbach's alpha ( $\alpha$ ) coefficients were .97, .92, .93, and .95, respectively.

#### 2.2.9. Empathy

Empathy in friendship relationships was examined using the Basic Empathy Scale (BES). This scale measures cognitive and affective empathy with 20 items. The scoring is done on a 5-point Likert scale (1= strongly disagree to 5=strongly agree). In the original study, the Cronbach's alpha ( $\alpha$ ) coefficients were .66 for the total scale and .79 to .85 for the subscales, respectively. Jafari et al. (2017), in the assessment of psychometric properties among high school students, obtained a two-factor structure by removing three items and Cronbach's alpha ( $\alpha$ ) coefficients of .74 to .85 and test-retest coefficients of .72 to .80 reported (Jafari et al., 2017). In the present study, the affective empathy subscale used, and Cronbach's alpha ( $\alpha$ ) coefficient was .84.

#### 2.3. Procedure

The instruments were created electronically using the Google Docs platform, with the prior consent of the authors. The link to the instruments was shared on social networks for easy access, and participation was completely anonymous. The research was governed by the ethical norms in Iran and the American Psychology Association guidelines for human research. This study received ethical approval from the committee with code number IR.UM.REC.1399.098. In the electronic forms, the

participants were informed about the aims of the project and were assured of the confidentiality of the information, and the voluntary nature of their participation in the research was emphasised.

#### 2.4. Data Analysis

Confirmatory factor analysis and Cronbach's alpha ( $\alpha$ ) coefficient were used to examine the reliability and validity of the questionnaires. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (version 25.0; IBM SPSS, Chicago, IL) and AMOS (version 24.0; IBM SPSS, Wexford, PA).

In the following, PLS-SEM was used. PLS-SEM models involve two elements according to Hair et al. (2021): a measurement model, which assesses the association between items and variables (validity and reliability), and a structural model, which assesses the predictive capability of the variables proposed in the model. PLS-SEM analyses for the two elements conducted using SmartPLS 3.3.3 (Ringle & Wende, 2015). Reliability tested using the rho\_A coefficient and composite reliability (CR), convergent validity using outer loads and Average Variance Extracted (AVE), and discriminant validity using the Heterotrait-Monotrait ratio of correlations method (HTMT) as proposed by Henseler et al. (2015). The structural model included the analysis of the explained variance, the effect size, the predictive effect size, and the magnitude and statistical significance of the coefficients for each path proposed in Figure 1. Importance-performance matrix analysis (IPMA) used to determine priorities in the critical determinants of perceived well-being in friendship relationships.

### 3. Findings and Results

Before statistical analysis, data were screened and missing values were replaced by the mean of the series. Univariate outliers were assessed using box plots and adjusted based on mean and standard deviation ( $M \pm 1SD$ ). Multivariate outliers were assessed using Mahalanobis distances. The results indicated the presence of 13 multivariate outliers in the data, which were subsequently removed. Re-analysis revealed no further multivariate outliers and analyses continued with data from 713 participants. Descriptive statistics and correlation matrix are shown in Table 1.

**Table 1**

*Descriptive statistics and Pearson correlation coefficients between Main Study Variables*

Variables	Mean	SD	VI	SA	CO	IN	TR	EM
<b>PSBPNF</b>								
Autonomy	3.72	.71	.18**	.31**	.25**	.26**	.17**	.29**
Competence	3.34	.89	.22**	.16**	.24**	.20**	.18**	.33**
Relatedness	3.98	.98	.16**	.18**	.21**	.15**	.17**	.21**
<b>PTBPNF</b>								
Autonomy	3.97	.89	.13**	-.18**	-.05	-.14**	-.07*	-.15**
Competence	3.96	1.12	-.09*	-.14**	-.08*	-.13**	-.02	-.20**
Relatedness	3.98	1.10	-.15**	-.17**	-.04	-.13**	-.14**	-.14**
<b>GFR</b>								
Compassionate goals	3.54	.73	.43**	.41**	.35**	.36**	.36**	.41**
Self-image goals	3.33	.68	-.28**	-.29**	-.17**	-.30**	-.23**	-.28**
<b>SBPN</b>								
Autonomy	3.53	1.04	.29**	.25**	.25**	.27**	.18**	.22**
Competence	3.46	.97	.27**	.20**	.28**	.24**	.25**	.16**
Relatedness	3.41	.83	.35**	.21**	.22**	.28**	.18**	.20**
<b>FBPN</b>								
Perceived burdensomeness	3.45	1.01	-.05	-.09*	-.14**	-.09*	-.20**	-.23**
Thwarted belongingness	3.47	.80	-.17**	-.24**	-.22**	-.21**	-.26**	-.26**
<b>FSR</b>								
External regulation	3.50	.92	-.36**	-.36**	-.26**	-.40**	-.36**	-.38**
Introjected regulation	3.32	.93	-.08*	-.06	.02	-.26**	-.07	-.18**
Identified regulation	4.08	1.10	.37**	.27**	.50**	.47**	.30**	.34**
Intrinsic motivation	4.02	.98	.35**	.30**	.26**	.74**	.25**	.33**
<b>SBPNF</b>								
Autonomy	3.65	.68	.20**	.17**	.21**	.19**	.23**	.18**
Competence	3.09	.51	.23**	.19**	.20**	.19**	.21**	.13**
Relatedness	4.02	.77	.34**	.32**	.31**	.27**	.29**	.28**
<b>TBPNF</b>								
Autonomy	3.94	.77	-.29**	-.29**	-.27**	-.29**	-.26**	-.25**
Competence	4.67	.63	-.08*	-.12**	-.14**	-.13**	-.10**	-.11**
Relatedness	4.28	.81	-.29**	-.30**	-.25**	-.25**	-.27**	-.23**
<b>PWBFR</b>								
Vitality (VI)	3.81	1.01	-					
Satisfaction (SA)	3.49	.85	.40**	-				
Commitment (CO)	3.32	.91	.50**	.50**	-			
Intimacy (IN)	3.80	1.02	.41**	.37**	.32**	-		
Trust (TR)	3.46	1.06	.43**	.25**	.33**	.34**	-	
Empathy (EM)	3.65	.65	.39**	.35**	.50**	.40**	.39**	-

Note: SD= Standard Deviation; PSBPNF= perceived support of basic psychological needs by friends; PTBPNF=perceived thwarting of basic psychological needs by friends; GFR=goals in friendship relationships; SBPN= satisfied basic psychological needs; FBPN=frustrated psychological needs; FSR= friendship self-regulation; SBPNF= supporting the basic psychological needs of friends; TBPNF= thwarting the basic psychological needs of friends; PWBFR= perceived well-being in friendship relationships; \*\*p<.01, \*p<.05.

Table 1 shows that the dimensions of perceived support of basic psychological needs by friends, compassionate goals, satisfied basic psychological needs, intrinsic motivation, and intergrated regulation, as well as supporting

the basic psychological needs of friends, have significant and positive correlations with perceived well-being in friendship relationships ( $p<.01$ ). Other results indicate that perceived thwarting of autonomy and relatedness are not significantly related to commitment and competence ( $p>.05$ ), but other suscales are negative and significant ( $p<.05$ ). Self-images goals, external regulation, and thwarting the basic psychological needs of friends have

negative and significant relationships with perceived well-being in friendship relationships ( $p<.05$ ). The relationship between perceived burdensomeness and vitality is not significant ( $r=-.05$ ). Introjected regulation has no significant relationship with satisfaction ( $r=-.06$ ), commitment ( $r=.02$ ), and trust ( $r=-.07$ ), but it has a negative and significant correlation with vitality ( $r=-.08$ ,  $p<.05$ ), intimacy ( $r=-.26$ ,  $p<.01$ ), and empathy ( $r=-.18$ ,  $p<.01$ ).

**Table 2**

*Measurement Model Statistics.*

variables	Outer Loadings	VIF	rho-A	CR	AVE	Q2 predict
PSBPNF			.509	.754	.505	—
Autonomy	.698***	1.087				
Competence	.720***	1.113				
Relatedness	.715***	1.184				
PTBPNF			.870	.914	.779	—
Autonomy	.904***	2.279				
Competence	.876***	2.246				
Relatedness	.867***	2.013				
GFR			.635	.834	.716	—
Compassionate goals	.887***	1.236				
Self-image goals	.803***	1.236				
SBPN			.629	.801	.573	.151
Autonomy	.799***	1.310				
Competence	.732***	1.203				
Relatedness	.738***	1.225				
FBPN			.679	.852	.734	.161
Perceived burdensomeness	.829***	1.316				
Thwarted belongingness	.894***	1.316				
FSR			.757	.814	.530	.178
External regulation	.806***	1.730				
Introjected regulation	.777***	1.674				
Identified regulation	-.791***	1.406				
Intrinsic motivation	-.491***	1.244				
SBPNF			.782	.854	.661	.121
Autonomy	.849***	1.441				
Competence	.835***	1.738				
Relatedness	.751***	1.473				
TBPNF			.706	.790	.568	.106
Autonomy	.865***	1.445				
Competence	.841***	1.418				
Relatedness	.500***	1.093				
PWBFR			.799	.854	.495	.264
Vitality	.744***	1.612				
Satisfaction	.673***	1.458				
Commitment	.734***	1.732				
Intimacy	.707***	1.389				
Trust	.638***	1.348				
Empathy	.719***	1.536				

Note: \*\*\*  $p<.001$ ; VIF = variance inflation factor; rho\_A = Spearman's Rho; CR = composite reliability; AVE = average variance extracted; Q2 predict = predictive performance; PSBPNF= perceived support of basic psychological needs by friends; PTBPNF=perceived thwarting of basic psychological needs by friends; GFR=goals in friendship relationships; SBPN= satisfied basic psychological needs; FBPN=frustrated psychological needs; FSR= friendship self-regulation; SBPNF= supporting the basic psychological needs of friends; TBPNF= thwarting the basic psychological needs of friends; PWBFR= perceived well-being in friendship relationships.



The measurement models were derived from bootstrapping with 5000 samples. Table 2 indicates that the factor loadings are more excellent than .49 for all indicators and are statistically significant ( $p < .05$ ). The internal consistency method showed appropriate reliability levels of the study predictors, with rho\_A coefficients over .50, and composite reliability over .75. Convergent validity using AVE allowed the identification of the items that do not meet the criteria of outer loads of .50 or above and a Variance

Inflator Factor (VIF) of 5.0 or below, showing poor validity and collinearity effects. Table 2 shows that the CR>AVE criterion met. Therefore, the convergent validity of the measurement models can be confirmed. HTMT method showed that study variables included in the model had appropriate discriminant validity, with values below .86 and confidence intervals not including zero, indicating that each variable assesses a specific construct (Table 3).

**Table 3**

*Discriminant Validity of the Measurement Model.*

Variables	PSBPNF	PTBPNF	GFR	SBPN	FBPN	FSR	SBPNF	TBPNF	PWBFR
PSBPNF	.711	.571[.472, .677]	.517[.393, .635]	.819[.713, .921]	.551[.435, .665]	.559[.441, .647]	.515[.395, .627]	.597[.463, .722]	.670[.553, .774]
PTBPNF	-.381	.883	.333[.237, .429]	.212[.121, .312]	.592[.510, .677]	.429[.353, .500]	.169[.080, .262]	.178[.079, .274]	.232[.149, .318]
GFR	.294	-.236	.846	.601[.468, .709]	.309[.197, .428]	.717[.625, .805]	.445[.338, .549]	.488[.368, .605]	.776[.691, .855]
SBPN	.463	-.155	.378	.757	.255[.170, .323]	.464[.363, .547]	.484[.380, .577]	.506[.387, .614]	.635[.535, .728]
FBPN	-.323	.450	-.193	.145	.862	.525[.437, .615]	.198[.103, .308]	.215[.110, .321]	.409[.320, .497]
FSR	.378	-.343	.486	.340	-.361	.728	.390[.283, .488]	.432[.320, .527]	.787[.716, .849]
SBPNF	.324	-.140	.323	.340	-.143	.320	.813	.861[.785, .927]	.520[.431, .606]
TBPNF	-.356	.132	-.317	-.326	.149	-.317	-.583	.754	.587[.483, .683]
PWBFR	.432	-.193	.553	.451	-.301	.644	.416	-.434	.703

*Note.* On diagonal, square root of AVE; correlation between variables presented below the diagonal; HTMT present above diagonal; numbers in brackets represent the 95% bias-correct and accelerated confidence intervals derived from bootstrapping with 5000 samples; PSBPNF= perceived support of basic psychological needs by friends; PTBPNF=perceived thwarting of basic psychological needs by friends; GFR=goals in friendship relationships; SBPN=satisfied basic psychological needs; FBPN=frustrated psychological needs; FSR= friendship self-regulation; SBPNF= supporting the basic psychological needs of friends; TBPNF= thwarting the basic psychological needs of friends; PWBFR= perceived well-being in friendship relationships.

The significant path coefficients between latent variables examined to evaluate the fit of the structural model. Results of the primary model indicate that the direct effect from perceived support of basic psychological needs by friends to friendship self-regulation, the direct effect from perceived thwarting of basic psychological needs by friends to frustrated psychological needs, and supporting/thwarting the basic psychological needs of friends, direct effect from goals

in friendship relationships to frustrated psychological needs, and direct effect from frustrated psychological needs to supporting/thwarting the basic psychological needs of friends, are non-significant ( $p > .05$ ).

Accordingly, to improve the model fit and identify critical determinants, non-significant paths were removed, and the model was revised. The revised model shows that all path coefficients are statistically significant ( $p < .05$ ).

**Table 4**

*Structural Model Estimates and Importance-Performance Matrix analysis (IPMA) for Perceived Well-being in Friendship Relationships (PWBFR)*

	Primary model					Revised model					IPMA	
	Effects		Fit indeces			Effects		Fit indeces			Importance	Performance
Predictives	Direct	Indirect <sup>a</sup>	R <sup>2</sup>	R <sup>2</sup> <sub>adj</sub>	Q <sup>2</sup> predict	Direct	Indirect <sup>a</sup>	R <sup>2</sup>	R <sup>2</sup> <sub>adj</sub>	Q <sup>2</sup> predict		
PSBPNF	.077**	.229**	-	-	-	.078*	.204**	-	-	-	.282	44.334
PTBPNF	-.145**	-.110**	-	-	-	-.146**	-.147**	-	-	-	-.001	49.573
GFR	.222**	.250**	-	-	-	.224**	.234**	-	-	-	.458	54.941
SBPN	.192**	.118**	.282	.279	.151	.191**	.124**	.279	.277	.150	.316	41.042
FBPN	-.164**	-.121**	.232	.229	.161	-.165**	-.116**	.230	.228	.162	-.281	41.032
FSR	.376**	.021**	.379	.374	.178	.374**	.023**	.378	.374	.179	.397	174.268
SBPNF	.069*	-	.202	.195	.121	.069*	-	.198	.193	.119	.069	43.105
TBPNF	-.107**	-	.208	.201	.106	-.106**	-	.203	.198	.105	-.106	53.786
PWBFR	-	-	.581	.576	.264	-	-	.581	.576	.265	-	-

Note. a= total indirect effects; R<sup>2</sup><sub>adj</sub>= R square adjusted; IPMA= importance-performance matrix analysis; PSBPNF= perceived support of basic psychological needs by friends; PTBPNF=perceived thwarting of basic psychological needs by friends; GFR=goals in friendship relationships; SBPN= satisfied basic psychological needs; FBPN=frustrated psychological needs; FSR= friendship self-regulation; SBPNF= supporting the basic psychological needs of friends; TBPNF= thwarting the basic psychological needs of friends; PWBFR= perceived well-being in friendship relationships; \*\*p<.01, \*p<.05

Results for the structural model (Table 4) demonstrated that all direct effects were statistically significant ( $p<0.05$ ). Indirect effects revealed that perceived support of basic psychological needs by friends has significant indirect effects on perceived well-being in friendship relationships ( $\beta=.204$ , [95% CI: .156, .258]) by mediating the effect of satisfied/ frustrated basic psychological needs, supporting/ thwarting the basic psychological needs of friends. Perceived thwarting of basic psychological needs by friends has significant indirect effects on perceived well-being in friendship relationships ( $\beta=-.147$ , [95% CI: -.197, -.103]) by mediating the effect of frustrated psychological needs, friendship self-regulation, and supporting/ thwarting the basic psychological needs of friends. The goal in friendship relationships has significant indirect effects on perceived well-being in friendship relationships ( $\beta=.234$ , [95% CI: .188, .282]) by mediating the effect of satisfied basic psychological needs, friendship self-regulation, and supporting/ thwarting the basic psychological needs of friends.

Satisfied basic psychological needs have significant indirect effects to perceived well-being in friendship relationships ( $\beta=.124$ , [95% CI: .092, .116]) by mediating the effect of friendship self-regulation and supporting/ thwarting the basic psychological needs of friends. Frustrated psychological needs have significant indirect effects on perceived well-being in friendship relationships ( $\beta=-.116$ , [95% CI: -.158, -.082]) by mediating the effect of friendship self-regulation and thwarting the basic psychological needs

of friends. Friendship self-regulation has significant indirect effects on perceived well-being in friendship relationships ( $\beta=.023$ , [95% CI: .01, .039]) by mediating the effect of supporting/ thwarting the basic psychological needs of friends. Table 4 demonstrates that perceived thwarting of basic psychological needs by friends, frustrated psychological needs, and thwarting the basic psychological needs of friends have adverse effects. In contrast, the other constructs have positive effects on perceived well-being in friendship relationships.

To identify the critical determinants of perceived well-being in friendship relationships, importance-performance matrix analysis (IPMA) conducted. This analysis identifies constructs that has high importance and low performance, indicating areas that require intervention. The results (Table 4) showed that goals in friendship relationships have the highest importance, and perceived thwarting of basic psychological needs by friends has the lowest importance (.458 vs. -.001). The constructs with the highest and lowest performance are friendship self-regulation and frustrated psychological needs (174.268 vs. 41.032). The importance-performance map presented in Figure 1.


Figure 1 shows that goals in friendship relationships, satisfying basic psychological needs, perceived support of basic psychological needs by friends, and supporting the basic psychological needs of friends have the highest importance and lowest performance (First quadrant). Friendship self-regulation is in the second quadrant (high importance, high performance). The examination of the third

quadrant (low importance, low performance) reveals that perceived thwarting of basic psychological needs by friends and frustrated psychological needs included. Finally, in the

fourth quadrant (low importance, high performance), thwarting the basic psychological needs of friends is situated.

**Figure 1**

*Importance-performance Map*



Note: PSBPN= perceived support of basic psychological needs by friends; PTBPNF=perceived thwarting of basic psychological needs by friends; GFR=goals in friendship relationships; SBPN= satisfied basic psychological needs; FBP=frustrated psychological needs; FSR= friendship self-regulation; SBPNF= supporting the basic psychological needs of friends; TBP= thwarting the basic psychological needs of friends; PWBFR= perceived well-being in friendship relationships

#### 4. Discussion and Conclusion

The present study employed structural equation modelling to ascertain the influence of perceived support/thwarting of basic psychological needs by friends on the goals of friendship relationships, perceived well-being in friendship relationships, and the mediating role of satisfied/frustrated basic psychological needs, friendship self-regulation, and supporting/thwarting the basic psychological needs of friends. The results demonstrated that perceived support of basic psychological needs by friends has a positive effect, while perceived thwarting of basic psychological needs by friends have a negative effect on perceived well-being in friendships. These findings are consistent with the results of prior studies (Etkin et al., 2022; Tanhaye Reshvanloo, Kareshki, & Yazdi, 2023). According to the self-determination theory (SDT), an agent in a social context that diminishes perceived autonomy or self-determination will decrease intrinsic motivation.

Conversely, if social feedback supports autonomy and competence, intrinsic motivation will increase (Guay, 2021).

Other findings indicated that goals in friendship relationships have a significant effect on perceived well-being in friendship relationships. These findings are consistent with the results of prior studies (Gilbert et al., 2017; Leung & Law, 2019). Gilbert et al. (2017) showed a positive relationship between compassionate goals and cognitive empathy. Compassionate goals positively predicted empathy, whereas self-image goals negatively predicted empathy. Leung and Law (2019) concluded that perceptions of partner's intrinsic goals and one's own intrinsic goals are associated with higher relationship satisfaction, while perceptions of partner's extrinsic goals and one's own extrinsic goals are associated with lower relationship satisfaction (Gillison et al., 2019). Crocker and Canevello (2008) suggested that caring goals are associated with positive relationship outcomes such as perceived support from roommates or friends and relationship satisfaction (Crocker & Canevello, 2008).

Furthermore, the research findings supported the significant effect of satisfied basic psychological needs on perceived well-being in friendship relationships. These findings are consistent with the results of prior studies (Costa et al., 2015; Kanat-Maymon et al., 2016). Gillison et al. (2019), in a systematic review of research based on the self-determination theory from 1970 to 2017, confirmed the impact of satisfaction of basic psychological needs and increasing intrinsic motivation on various health outcomes. Basic Psychological Needs Theory (Ryan, 1995) explains how the satisfaction (or frustration) of basic psychological needs influences well-being and optimal performance.

The results of friendship self-regulation showed that this construct has a positive effect on perceived well-being in friendship relationships. Among the critical determinants, friendship self-regulation had the highest direct effect on perceived well-being in friendship relationships. These findings are consistent with the results of prior studies (Lee, 2018; Okada, 2007, 2012; Soenens & Vansteenkiste, 2005). Okada (2007) demonstrated that friendship self-regulation among high school students is related to satisfaction with friendship (Okada, 2007). Okada (2012) conducted another study on friendship self-regulation among university students and demonstrated that this construct can impact self-esteem by mediating aggression, anger, and hostility (Okada, 2012).

The results showed that supporting the basic psychological needs of friends has the smallest direct effect on perceived well-being in friendship relationships, and this effect is positive. Thwarting the basic psychological needs of friends also has a direct negative effect on perceived well-being in friendship relationships. These findings are consistent with the reciprocal nature of close relationships (Deci & Ryan, 2014), as well as studies by Rocchi et al. (2017), and Sheldon et al. (2021), and Van der Kaap-Deeder et al. (2017) emphasised the importance of friendship relationships in supporting autonomy (Rocchi et al., 2017; Sheldon et al., 2021; Van den Broeck et al., 2016). In their view, individuals who support autonomy value and validate their friends' perspectives, which helps them to consult their friends appropriately and stimulate a sense of autonomy. Therefore, the need for autonomy in friendships is satisfied when individuals are free to express their emotions in interactions with friends.

According to the importance-performance matrix analysis (IPMA), the critical determinants of perceived well-being in friendship relationships, in order of importance, are goals in friendship relationships, satisfied basic

psychological needs, perceived support of basic psychological needs by friends, supporting the basic psychological needs of friends, and friendship self-regulation. In the framework of the self-determination theory (Deci & Ryan, 2013; Deci & Ryan, 2014; Ryan, 1995; Ryan & Connell, 1989), it seems that when individuals perceive their friendships as supportive of their basic psychological needs and have compassionate goals in their friendships, their well-being in friendship relationships increases. This explanation achieved through satisfying of basic psychological needs, increased self-regulation, and the support of the basic psychological needs of friends. In such conditions, the basic psychological needs for autonomy, competence, and relatedness are satisfied when they consider their friends as valuable beings with human dignity, not merely as tools to achieve other goals. Friends also provide opportunities for free choice in interactions and attention to the development of their sense of competence through timely and accurate feedback, as well as unconditional care and support. In this way, individuals become intrinsically motivated to support their friends' basic psychological needs and, through their behaviour, create an environment conducive to meeting their friends' needs for autonomy, competence and relatedness. This approach, in addition to satisfying their friends' needs, contributes to better satisfaction of their own basic psychological needs. Such an atmosphere in friendships enables individuals to feel vitality and energy in their interactions with friends, to experience greater satisfaction in the relationship, to have greater intimacy and trust in their friends, and to move forward with a committed and empathetic approach.

Limitations of the present study include using self reported measures since the report consists of the participants' perceptions, which can be altered by the emotional state in which they answered or because they may manipulate information. Additionally, the data may have been influenced by data collection method. It is possible that the random sampling did not indeed occur. Although the researcher attempted to maximise the presence of different sub-groups of the community by selecting student groups on social networks, individuals who are less active may not have had the same opportunity to participate in this study.

The findings indicate the determinant roles of goals in friendship relationships, friendship self-regulation, and basic psychological needs in perceived well-being in friendship relationships. It appears necessary for individuals to have sufficient awareness and skills regarding identifying their goals and needs in their relationships with friends, as well as

self-regulation in relationships. Based on this, it recommended that counselors in university empowerment and counseling centers prioritize these aspects in their educational workshops and individual consultations for students experiencing difficulties in interpersonal relationships.

### Authors' Contributions

The first author of this article was responsible for data collection and analysis, and the other authors were responsible for supervising the analysis and writing of the article.

### Declaration

In order to correct and improve the academic writing of our paper, we have used the language model ChatGPT.

### Transparency Statement

Data are available for research purposes upon reasonable request to the corresponding author.

### Acknowledgments

We hereby thank all participants for agreeing to record the interview and participate in the research.

### Declaration of Interest

The authors report no conflict of interest.

### Funding

According to the authors, this article has no financial support.

### Ethical Considerations

All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were under the ethical standards of the institutional and, or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. Also, this study regulated by the professional law of the psychologist in Iran and the guidelines of research with humans of the American Psychology Association. This study obtained ethical approval from the committee with code number IR.UM.REC.1399.098.

### References

- Arnett, J. J. (2018). *Emerging adulthood and higher education: a new student development paradigm*. Routledge. <https://www.routledge.com/Emerging-Adulthood-and-Higher-Education-A-New-Student-Development-Paradigm/Murray-Arnett/p/book/9781138654136>
- Arnett, J. J., & Mitra, D. (2018). Are the Features of Emerging Adulthood Developmentally Distinctive? A Comparison of Ages 18–60 in the United States. *Emerging Adulthood*, 8(5), 412–419. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2167696818810073>
- Bahraei, Z., Hosseini Almadani, S., & Baseri, A. (2022). The modeling family resilience based on marital happiness, basic psychological needs and spirituality with the mediating role of emotion regulation. *Islamic Life Style*, 5(4), 85–98. <https://www.sid.ir/FileServer/JF/GHA3008113990413>
- Batterham, P. J., & Calear, A. L. (2021). Incorporating psychopathology into the interpersonal-psychological theory of suicidal behavior (IPTS). *Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior*, 51(3), 482–491. <https://doi.org/10.1111/sltb.12727>
- Booker, J. A., Dunsmore, J. C., & Fivush, R. (2021). Adjustment Factors of Attachment, Hope, and Motivation in Emerging Adult Well-Being. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 22(7), 3259–3284. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10902-021-00366-5>
- Bryan, C. J. (2011). The clinical utility of a brief measure of perceived burdensomeness and thwarted belongingness for the detection of suicidal military personnel. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 67(10), 981–992. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jclp.20726>
- Carmignola, M., Martinek, D., & Hagenauer, G. (2021). ‘At first I was overwhelmed, but then—I have to say—I did almost enjoy it’. Psychological needs satisfaction and vitality of student teachers during the first Covid-19 lockdown. *Social Psychology of Education*, 24(6), 1607–1641. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11218-021-09667-2>
- Costa, S., Ntoumanis, N., & Bartholomew, K. J. (2015). Predicting the brighter and darker sides of interpersonal relationships: Does psychological need thwarting matter? *Motivation and Emotion*, 39(1), 11–24. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11031-014-9427-0>
- Crocetti, E., & Meeus, W. (2014). “Family Comes First!” Relationships with family and friends in Italian emerging adults. *Journal of adolescence*, 37(8), 1463–1473. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adolescence.2014.02.012>
- Crocker, J., & Canevello, A. (2008). Creating and undermining social support in communal relationships: The role of compassionate and self-image goals. *Journal of personality and social psychology*, 95(3), 555–575. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.95.3.555>
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2013). *Intrinsic motivation and self-determination in human behavior*. Springer Science & Business Media. <https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=M3CpBgAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA1&dq=Intrinsic+Motivation+and+Self-Determination+in+Human+Behavior&ots=uoldF06ZX9&sig=K-QcdQTVxg8GEUa1lwi-89TNntI>
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2014). Autonomy and Need Satisfaction in Close Relationships: Relationships Motivation Theory. In N. Weinstein (Ed.), *Human Motivation and Interpersonal Relationships: Theory, Research, and Applications* (pp. 53–73). Springer Netherlands. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-8542-6\\_3](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-8542-6_3)
- Demir, M. (2010). Close Relationships and Happiness Among Emerging Adults. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 11(3), 293–313. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10902-009-9141-x>
- Dolatshahee, B., Yaghubi, H., Riazi, S. A., Peyravi, H., Hassan, A. H. R., Poursharifi, H., Zafar, M., Falahat, D. M., Hamidpour,



- H., & Sobhi, G. N. (2016). Construction and Validation of "National Scale of Students Life Profile": A Preliminary Study. <https://www.sid.ir/paper/250160/en>
- Doumen, S., Smits, I., Luyckx, K., Duriez, B., Vanhalst, J., Verschueren, K., & Goossens, L. (2012). Identity and perceived peer relationship quality in emerging adulthood: The mediating role of attachment-related emotions. *Journal of adolescence*, 35(6), 1417-1425. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adolescence.2012.01.003>
- Enayati Shabkolai, M., Enayati Shabkolai, M., & Bagheri Dadokolai, M. (2023). The Effectiveness of Treatment based on Acceptance and Commitment on Social Adaptation, Academic Self-Regulation and Cognitive Flexibility of Students with Specific Learning Disorders. *International Journal of Education and Cognitive Sciences*, 4(1), 33-41. <https://doi.org/10.61838/kman.ijecs.4.1.5>
- Etkin, R. G., Bowker, J. C., & Simms, L. J. (2022). Friend Overprotection in Emerging Adulthood: Associations with Autonomy Support and Psychosocial Adjustment. *The Journal of Genetic Psychology*, 183(2), 107-121. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00221325.2021.2012640>
- Fletcher, G. J. O., Simpson, J. A., & Thomas, G. (2000). The Measurement of Perceived Relationship Quality Components: A Confirmatory Factor Analytic Approach. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 26(3), 340-354. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167200265007>
- Gain, G. S., & La Guardia, J. G. (2009). The unique contributions of motivations to maintain a relationship and motivations toward relational activities to relationship well-being. *Motivation and Emotion*, 33(2), 184-202. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11031-009-9120-x>
- Ghahremani, P., Monirpour, N., & Zarghamhajebi, M. (2022). Presenting a Model of Relationships between Classroom Perception, Self-Regulation, Students and math Anxiety in High School Students. *Sociology of Education*, 7(2), 320-333. [https://www.iase-jrn.ir/article\\_252455\\_en.html](https://www.iase-jrn.ir/article_252455_en.html)
- Ghorbani, A., & Yazarloo, S. (2021). Structural Equation Modeling Identity Styles and Self-efficacy With the Attitude to Crime in Students. *Sociology of Education*, 7(1), 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.22034/ijes.2021.244678>
- Gilbert, P., Catarino, F., Sousa, J., Ceresatto, L., Moore, R., & Basran, J. (2017). Measuring competitive self-focus perspective taking, submissive compassion and compassion goals. *Journal of Compassionate Health Care*, 4(1), 5. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40639-017-0034-2>
- Gillison, F. B., Rouse, P., Standage, M., Sebire, S. J., & Ryan, R. M. (2019). A meta-analysis of techniques to promote motivation for health behaviour change from a self-determination theory perspective. *Health Psychology Review*, 13(1), 110-130. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17437199.2018.1534071>
- Grolnick, W. S. (2009). The role of parents in facilitating autonomous self-regulation for education. *Theory and Research in Education*, 7(2), 164-173. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1477878509104321>
- Guay, F. (2021). Applying Self-Determination Theory to Education: Regulations Types, Psychological Needs, and Autonomy Supporting Behaviors. *Canadian Journal of School Psychology*, 37(1), 75-92. <https://doi.org/10.1177/08295735211055355>
- Hope, N. H., Holding, A. C., Verner-Filion, J., Sheldon, K. M., & Koestner, R. (2019). The path from intrinsic aspirations to subjective well-being is mediated by changes in basic psychological need satisfaction and autonomous motivation: A large prospective test. *Motivation and Emotion*, 43(2), 232-241. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11031-018-9733-z>
- Jafari, M. A., Nooroozi, Z., & Foolad Chang, M. (2017). The study of factor structure, reliability and validity of basic empathy scale: Persian form. *Journal of Educational Psychology Studies*, 14(25), 23-38. [https://jep.susb.ac.ir/article\\_3606.htmlhttps://jep.susb.ac.ir/article\\_3099.html?lang=en](https://jep.susb.ac.ir/article_3606.htmlhttps://jep.susb.ac.ir/article_3099.html?lang=en)
- Jahanshahi, R., Haghghatian, M., & Bahian, S. (2024). Sociologically Investigating the Impact of Cultural Factors on the National Identity Reproduction in Students. *Sociology of Education*, 10(1), 183-192. <https://doi.org/10.22034/ijes.2023.2013621.1481>
- Kanat-Maymon, Y., Roth, G., Assor, A., & Raizer, A. (2016). Controlled by Love: The Harmful Relational Consequences of Perceived Conditional Positive Regard. *Journal of personality*, 84(4), 446-460. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jopy.12171>
- Kasser, T., & Ryan, R. M. (1996). Further Examining the American Dream: Differential Correlates of Intrinsic and Extrinsic Goals. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 22(3), 280-287. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167296223006>
- Kopala-Sibley, D. C., Zuroff, D. C., Hermanto, N., & Joyal-Desmarais, K. (2015). The development of self-definition and relatedness in emerging adulthood and their role in the development of depressive symptoms. *International Journal of Behavioral Development*, 40(4), 302-312. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0165025415573640>
- La Guardia, J. G., Ryan, R. M., Couchman, C. E., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Within-person variation in security of attachment: A self-determination theory perspective on attachment, need fulfillment, and well-being. *Journal of personality and social psychology*, 79(3), 367-384. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.79.3.367>
- Lee, J. (2018). The role of motivational resources in maintaining psychological well-being after failure experiences. <https://urresearch.rochester.edu/institutionalPublicationPublicView.action?institutionalItemId=33466>
- Leung, A. N. M., & Law, W. (2019). Do extrinsic goals affect romantic relationships? The role of basic psychological need satisfaction. *Motivation and Emotion*, 43(6), 857-873. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11031-019-09804-7>
- Mahdian, R., Poushaneh, K., Rezazadeh, H. R., & Maleki, H. (2022). Designing a National Identity Curriculum Model for the Second Elementary Grade. *iase-idje*, 5(3), 128-141. <https://doi.org/10.61186/ijes.5.3.128>
- Matud, M. P., Bethencourt, J. M., Ibáñez, I., Fortes, D., & Díaz, A. (2022). Gender Differences in Psychological Well-Being in Emerging Adulthood. *Applied Research in Quality of Life*, 17(2), 1001-1017. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11482-021-09943-5>
- Okada, R. (2007). Motivational Analysis of Academic Help-Seeking: Self-Determination in Adolescents' Friendship. *Psychological Reports*, 100(3), 1000-1012. <https://doi.org/10.2466/pr0.100.3.1000-1012>
- Okada, R. (2012). Friendship motivation, aggression, and self-esteem in Japanese undergraduate students. *Psychology*, 3(01), 7. <https://www.scrip.org/html/16775.html>
- Ordoñez-Carrasco, J. L., Salgueiro, M., Sayans-Jiménez, P., Blanc-Molina, A., García-Leiva, J. M., Calandre, E. P., & Rojas, A. J. (2018). Propiedades psicométricas de la versión en español del Cuestionario de Necesidades Interpersonales de 12 ítems en pacientes con síndrome de fibromialgia. *Anales de Psicología / Annals of Psychology*, 34(2), 274-282. <https://doi.org/10.6018/analesps.34.2.293101>
- Özabacı, N., & Eryılmaz, A. (2015). The sources of self-esteem: Initiating and maintaining romantic intimacy at emerging adulthood in Turkey. *Journal of Human Sciences*, 12(1), 179-

191. <https://www.j-humansciences.com/ojs/index.php/IJHS/article/view/3014>
- Philippe, F. L., Koestner, R., & Lekes, N. (2013). On the directive function of episodic memories in people's lives: A look at romantic relationships. *Journal of personality and social psychology*, 104(1), 164-179. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0030384>
- Ratelle, C. F., Simard, K., & Guay, F. (2013). University Students' Subjective Well-being: The Role of Autonomy Support from Parents, Friends, and the Romantic Partner. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 14(3), 893-910. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10902-012-9360-4>
- Ren, Q., & Jiang, S. (2021). Acculturation Stress, Satisfaction, and Frustration of Basic Psychological Needs and Mental Health of Chinese Migrant Children: Perspective from Basic Psychological Needs Theory. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 18(9), 4751. <https://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/18/9/4751>
- Rocchi, M., Pelletier, L., Cheung, S., Baxter, D., & Beaudry, S. (2017). Assessing need-supportive and need-thwarting interpersonal behaviours: The Interpersonal Behaviours Questionnaire (IBQ). *Personality and individual differences*, 104, 423-433. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2016.08.034>
- Ryan, R. M. (1995). Psychological Needs and the Facilitation of Integrative Processes. *Journal of personality*, 63(3), 397-427. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6494.1995.tb00501.x>
- Ryan, R. M., & Connell, J. P. (1989). Perceived locus of causality and internalization: Examining reasons for acting in two domains. *Journal of personality and social psychology*, 57(5), 749-761. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.57.5.749>
- Ryan, R. M., Curren, R. R., & Deci, E. L. (2013). What humans need: Flourishing in Aristotelian philosophy and self-determination theory. In *The best within us: Positive psychology perspectives on eudaimonia*. (pp. 57-75). American Psychological Association. <https://doi.org/10.1037/14092-004>
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. *American psychologist*, 55(1), 68-78. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.55.1.68>
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2020). Intrinsic and extrinsic motivation from a self-determination theory perspective: Definitions, theory, practices, and future directions. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 61, 101860. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2020.101860>
- Ryan, R. M., Deci, E. L., Vansteenkiste, M., & Soenens, B. (2021). Building a science of motivated persons: Self-determination theory's empirical approach to human experience and the regulation of behavior. *Motivation Science*, 7(2), 97-110. <https://doi.org/10.1037/mot0000194>
- Samadieh, H., & Tanhaye Reshvanloo, F. (2023). The Relationship Between Sense of Belonging and Life Satisfaction Among University Students: The Mediating Role of Social Isolation and Psychological Distress. *iase-idje*, 6(3), 11-24. <https://doi.org/10.61186/ijes.6.3.11>
- Sarhadi, M., Navidian, A., Fasihi Harandy, T., & Ansari Moghadam, A. (2013). Comparing quality of marital relationship of spouses of patients with and without a history of myocardial infarction. *Journal of Health Promotion Management*, 2(1), 39-48. <http://jhp.m.ir/article-1-95-en.html>
- Sheldon, K. M., Corcoran, M., & Titova, L. (2021). Supporting one's own autonomy may be more important than feeling supported by others. *Motivation Science*, 7(2), 176-186. <https://doi.org/10.1037/mot0000215>
- Shulman, S., Scharf, M., Livne, Y., & Barr, T. (2013). Patterns of romantic involvement among emerging adults: Psychosocial correlates and precursors. *International Journal of Behavioral Development*, 37(5), 460-467. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0165025413491371>
- Sjöblom, K., Mäklä, K., Sandström, N., & Lonka, K. (2016). Does Physical Environment Contribute to Basic Psychological Needs? A Self-Determination Theory Perspective on Learning in the Chemistry Laboratory. *Frontline Learning Research*, 4(1), 17-39. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1091070>
- Soenens, B., & Vansteenkiste, M. (2005). Antecedents and Outcomes of Self-Determination in 3 Life Domains: The Role of Parents' and Teachers' Autonomy Support. *Journal of youth and adolescence*, 34(6), 589-604. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-005-8948-y>
- Tanhaye Reshvanloo, F., Kareshki, H., & Amin Yazdi, S. A. (2021). Psychometric Properties of the Friendship Self-Regulation Questionnaire (SRQ-F) at the Emerging Adulthood. *iase-idje*, 4(2), 139-148. <https://doi.org/10.52547/ijes.4.2.139>
- Tanhaye Reshvanloo, F., Kareshki, H., & Torkamani, M. (2019). Psychometric Properties of State Level Subjective Vitality Scale based on classical test theory and Item-response theory. *Rooyesh-e-Ravanshenasi Journal (RRJ)*, 8(10), 79-88. <https://frooyesh.ir/article-1-1590-en.html>
- Tanhaye Reshvanloo, F., Kareshki, H., & Yazdi, S. A. A. (2023). Determinants of Perceived Well-Being in Friendship Relationships during Emerging Adulthood: Testing a Structural Model Based on Self-Determination Theory. <https://www.preprints.org/manuscript/202310.0021>
- Tanhaye Reshvanloo, F., Rajabi Joghorthain, M., & Samadieh, H. (2024). Canonical Analysis of the Relations between Identity Styles and Self Handicapping in High School Students. *Sociology of Education*, 10(1), 236-245. <https://doi.org/10.22034/ijes.2024.2007848.1445>
- Tanhaye Reshvanloo, F., Saeidi Rezvani, T., Jami, R., & Seadatee Shamir, A. (2020). Psycho-Social Well-being in Female Students: the Role of Perceived Parental Autonomy Support and Warmth. *iase-idje*, 3(3), 1-8. <https://doi.org/10.52547/ijes.3.3.1>
- Tanhaye Reshvanloo, F., Saeidi Rezvani, T., Samadiye, H., & Kareshki, H. (2023). Psychometric Properties of the Basic Need Satisfaction in Relationships with Friends Scale in University Students. *Knowledge & Research in Applied Psychology*, 2(24), 121-132. <https://doi.org/10.30486/jsrp.2020.1866132.1795>
- Tett, L., Cree, V. E., & Christie, H. (2017). From further to higher education: transition as an on-going process. *Higher Education*, 73(3), 389-406. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-016-0101-1>
- Van den Broeck, A., Ferris, D. L., Chang, C.-H., & Rosen, C. C. (2016). A Review of Self-Determination Theory's Basic Psychological Needs at Work. *Journal of Management*, 42(5), 1195-1229. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206316632058>
- Vaziri, C., Ghanbari Panah, A., & Tajalli, P. (2021). Modeling of Self-regulation based on Cognitive Flexibility with Mediated Role of Psychological Hardiness in Students. *iase-idje*, 4(2), 83-92. <https://doi.org/10.52547/ijes.4.2.83>
- Wang, R., Liu, H., Jiang, J., & Song, Y. (2017). Will materialism lead to happiness? A longitudinal analysis of the mediating role of psychological needs satisfaction. *Personality and individual differences*, 105, 312-317. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2016.10.014>